

**Technological Performance Team Assignment
Evaluation Sheet**

System:		Team Number:
CONTENT		
Is it There? (1 point)	Is it Right? (4 points)	Is it Good? (7 points)
<i>Content not included for all sections of the assignment (incomplete) OR included content is incorrect. Some third party sources of information are either not referenced or incorrectly referenced.</i>	<i>Content is included for all sections of the assignment and all aspects of the assignment are effectively addressed. Data is complete and correct. All third party sources of information are correctly referenced.</i>	<i>Content goes beyond the bare minimum required for the assignment and includes greater level of detail to aid learning. Graphics, figures, and other media are included to illustrate key points.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Parts of assignment not included <input type="checkbox"/> System-specific performance parameter values not located <input type="checkbox"/> FMEA missing components or modes <input type="checkbox"/> CARS analysis not included for Best of breed resources <input type="checkbox"/> Trade associations not described <input type="checkbox"/> Images from third party sources are not properly attributed	All pieces of assignment included, are properly referenced, and contain all requirements: <input type="checkbox"/> Performance Parameters <input type="checkbox"/> Buyer's Guide <input type="checkbox"/> Component-based FMEA <input type="checkbox"/> 3 rd Party Info evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Relevant Trade Associations <input type="checkbox"/> Lessons Learned Analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Performance Parameters section contains links to actual protocols <input type="checkbox"/> Links to product range provided for system-specific performance parameters <input type="checkbox"/> FMEA supported by appropriate photos/links <input type="checkbox"/> Best of breed resources compared and thoroughly analyzed <input type="checkbox"/> Trade associations described and compared
Additional Comments:		
Content Grade: _____ out of 7		

**Technological Performance Team Assignment
Evaluation Sheet**

DELIVERY		
Is it There? (1 point)	Is it Right? (2 points)	Is it Good? (3 points)
<i>Content is not well-organized or easy to read. Writing, grammar, and/or formatting are poor or incorrect. Subheadings and other navigational devices are not employed. Document appearance has not been verified in browsers.</i>	<i>Content is presented in a readable and easy-to-follow fashion using appropriate subheadings. Links are used to access drill-down information or external sites. Grammar and writing style are correct with no errors.</i>	<i>Creative formatting and content is used to maximize usability and make wiki compelling and interesting to read.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Typographical, spelling, or grammar errors <input type="checkbox"/> Subheadings/subpages/links not used to separate content <input type="checkbox"/> Attachments included in illegal file formats (e.g., .docx, .vsd) <input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent formatting across parts of the submittal (fonts, heading formats, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Attachments not hidden	<input type="checkbox"/> Consistent formatting for all parts of assignment <input type="checkbox"/> No typographical, spelling, or grammar errors <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment organized according to required sections using subheadings or links <input type="checkbox"/> All attachments are in pdf or html format <input type="checkbox"/> All formatting instructions followed completely	<input type="checkbox"/> Navigational links provided to connect pages <input type="checkbox"/> Links are annotated to show contents <input type="checkbox"/> All figures embedded in wiki for readability <input type="checkbox"/> Colors, graphics, or other visual enhancements provided to increase visual interest
Additional Comments:		
Delivery Grade: _____ out of 3		
TOTAL GRADE: _____ out of 10		

**Technological Performance Team Assignment
Evaluation Sheet**